



भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

16/7
22/7/98

सं. 14] नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, जुलाई 18, 1998/आषाढ़ 27, 1920
No. 14] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, JULY 18, 1998/ASADHA 27, 1920

इस भाग में निम्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में
रखा जा सके

Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a
separate compilation

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (iii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (iii)

केन्द्रीय अधिकारियों (संघ राज्य क्षेत्र प्रशासनों को छोड़कर) द्वारा जारी किये गये आदेश और अधिसूचनाएँ
Orders and Notifications issued by Central Authorities (other than the Administrations of Union
Territories)

भारत निर्वाचन आयोग

नई दिल्ली, 7 जुलाई, 1998

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

New Delhi, the 7th July, 1998

आ.अ. 43.—18 बुलढाना संसदीय निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र
से लोक सभा के लिये श्री आनंदराव विथोबा अडसुल
के निर्वाचन की चुनौती देने वाली श्री संतोष विनायकराव
अम्बेकर द्वारा दाखिल की गई मुम्बई स्थित उच्च
न्यायालय के नागपुर बेंच में 1996 की निर्वाचन अर्जी
सं. 1 के 22 अप्रैल, 1998 के निर्णय को निर्वाचन
आयोग एतद्वारा लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951
(1951 का 43) की धारा 106 के अनुसरण में
प्रकाशित करता है।

(निर्णय अधिसूचना के अंग्रेजी भाग में छपा है।)

[सं. 82/महा.-लो.स./1/96(नागपुर)]

आदेश से,

एस. मेंदीरत्ता, प्रधान सचिव

O.N. 43.—In pursuance of Section 106 of the
Representation of the People Act, 1951 (43 of
1951), the Election Commission hereby publishes
the judgement dated 22nd April, 1998 of the High
Court of judicature at Mumbai, Nagpur Bench in
Election Petition No. 1 of 1996 filed by Shri Santosh
Vinayakrao Ambekar challenging the election of
Shri Anandrao Vithoba Adsul to the Lok Sabha
from 18-Buldhana Parliamentary Constituency.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT
BOMBAY, NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR
ELECTION PETITION NO. 1 OF 1996.
Santosh S/o. Vinayakrao Ambekar

..Petitioner.

Versus

Shri Anandrao S/o. Vithoba Adsul.

..Respondent.

CORAM : S. P. KULKARNI, J.

Dated : 22-4-1998.

ORDER

"Shri S. V. Manohar advocate appears for the petitioner Santosh. This petition involves a challenge to election to the Parliamentary Constituency No. 18 (Buldana Constituency). The petition remains pending. The respondent has duly filed the written statement. Thereafter fresh elections to the Parliament took place."

2. Shri Manohar representing the petitioner points out that he has been now instructed to state before the Court that the petitioner is not desirous of prosecuting the present petition. In view of this, obviously the grounds of fact agitated by the petitioner are not now necessary to be gone into. In view of the non-prosecution, therefore, the election petition

will have to be dismissed. Smt. Bodade, representing respondent No. 1, in the circumstances, therefore, has nothing specific to submit with no order as to costs.

4. The Security deposit be accordingly refunded to the petitioner.

By the Court.

Sd./- S. M. MAINDARKAR,
Assistant Registrar,

[No. 82/MT-HP/1/96 (Nagpur)]

By Order,
S. MENDIRATTA, Principal Secy.